3 Environmental Accounting

The term "environmental accounting" has emerged only recently, and an explicit definition or standard has not yet been established. Nevertheless, the Yokogawa Group was quick to grasp its importance, and after much study, started applying it in 1998.

1. Environmental Accounting of Yokogawa Group

From fiscal 2000, in extending the scope of coverage of environmental accounting to cover 16 sites including overseas sites, the Yokogawa Group has complied with Developing an Environmental Accounting System (Year 2000 Report) published by the Environment Agency of Japan. We have introduced the concept of "eco points" (EP) as an integrated environmental burden indicator, and attempted to quantify environmental improvement ratio and eco-efficiency as indicators of environmental efficiency. The Yokogawa Group's concept of environmental burden indicator, EP, and its environmental accounting policy which supplements the Guideline from the Environment Agency of Japan, are shown in sections 4 and 5 below, respectively.

2. Environmental Accounting Data for Fiscal Year 2000

- (1) The tables on the right page show the settlement of accounting for 16 sites for fiscal 2000.
- (2) The reduction in *environmental burden indicator EP* was 915 EP and the *environmental maintenance costs* were 1,174 million yen, hence the *environmental improvement*

ratio (EP reduction / environmental maintenance costs) as an indicator of environmental efficiency was 0.78 EP per million yen. The monetary value of *economic benefits* accruing from environmental protection activities totaled 1,095 million yen, resulting in an *eco-efficiency* (economic results / environmental maintenance costs) of 93%.

3. Future Tasks

We will:

- (1) Extend the same method of environmental accounting to other sites.
- (2) Upgrade the policy and processing methods reflecting the Yokogawa Group's characteristics while complying with the Guideline published by the Environment Agency of Japan. In particular, we will assess the suitability of counting the environmental economic efficiency, costs that are regarded as avoidable based on assumptions (by legal compliance, risk avoidance, etc.), and environmental protection results from the environmental business.
- (3) Strive to build an environmental information system that can supply fundamental data to environmental accounting.

4. Yokogawa Group's Concept of Environmental Burden Indicator, Eco Point (EP)

- (1) Calculate the environmental burdens within each site and calculate the amounts of emissions from materials (carbon dioxide [CO₂], methane [CH₄], sulfur oxides [SO_X], nitrogen oxides [NO_X], chlorofluorocarbon [CFC], chemical oxygen demand [COD], phosphorus, heavy metals, etc.).
- (2) Classify the compiled emissions into impact categories (global-warming gases, acidification gases, ozone-depleting substances, substances which cause eutrophication, substances toxic to humans, etc.), and obtain category indicators according to the properties of the respective substances.
- (3) For integration, divide the individual category indicators by the respective total emissions in the world to normalize them (to enable comparison), and then multiply by the respective, Yokogawa Group-defined weighting factors (1.14 × 10⁹ for global warming, 1.00 × 10⁹ for acidification, and 1.54 × 10⁹ for ozone layer depletion).
- (4) Sum up the normalized and weighted indicators to obtain the environmental burden indicator, the eco point (EP).
 Note: At present, the EP is given as the integrated environmental burden indicator for the categories of global warming, acidification, and ozone layer depletion, representing the burdens occurring within the sites and affecting the global-scale environment. The Group, however, intends to integrate the indicators for the categories of eutrophication and toxicity to humans into this EP, including those burdens produced by parts and materials.
 Note: The database for this concept of EP is based on the NIRE-LCA of the Institute of Resources and Environment Technology in Japan.

5. Yokogawa Group's Basic Policy of Environmental Accounting

In principle, the environmental accounting policy complies with Developing an Environmental Accounting System (Year 2000 Report) published by the Environment Agency of Japan.

- 1) Environmental Protection Costs
- a. Costs to be totaled: An environmental protection cost must be judged, in principle, by whether it is an expenditure for the purpose of environmental protection. The judgment may also take the effect into account where
- necessary.
 b. Classification of investments and expenditures: In principle, must comply with the classification in financial accounting.
- c. Calculation of depreciation on investments: Use the straight-line method to calculate depreciation in each term without taking the residual or scrap value into account. Regardless of the period over which returns from the investment may be gained, the depreciation of an investment can be declared as a cost for a maximum of five years after the year in which the investment was made. The depreciation retroactive to the previous fiscal year is included in the depreciation of the current term.
- d. Costs of mixed purposes: Declare any difference that additionally resulted from including environmental protection in the purposes of a cost, as an environmental cost. If it is difficult to determine the difference, divide the cost in proportion to the ratio of the purpose of environmental protection to all purposes.
- e. Calculation of personnel expenses: As environmental protection costs, declare all

personnel expenses occurring within a unit (department, section, etc.) that was formed mainly for the purpose of environmental protection, as well as the personnel expenses of those employees who are deemed as working exclusively in environmental protection even if they belong to a department whose main objective is not environmental protection. For the environmental protection activities of personnel other than the above, multiply the total activity time (in hours) by the specified rate and declare the result as environmental protection costs.

- Environmental Protection Effects
 Effects to be totaled: Determine the quantity of a limited, avoided, or improved environmental impact as an effect of environmental protection activities.
- b. Units of measurements: Clarify the effects on environmental protection as physical quantities of environmental impact. Only an item that cannot be grasped quantitatively may be evaluated qualitatively.
- c. Effects (returns) from an investment in plant or equipment: Must correspond to the declarations of the

corresponding expenditures and hence cannot be declared for more than five years from the year when the investment was made. d. Calculation of effects:

In principle, determine the annual rates of reduction by making a comparison to the case where the respective environmental protection activities were not carried out. If this method is difficult to apply, declare the annual rates of reduction by making a comparison to a specified reference year. e. Effects resulting from environmentally friendly products:

Life-cycle assessments showed that Yokogawa Group's products exhibit significantly higher levels for carbon dioxide emissions than other environmental impact factors. Hence, as the effect of an environmentally friendly product on environmental protection, declare the total amount of the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions during usage over its lifecycle in comparison to that of the prior model.

- 3) Economic Results from Environmental Protection Activities
- a. Results to be totaled:

The results to be totaled should be those incomes and reductions in expenditures that were actually gained, and the monetary values for environmental risks that could be deemed as avoided.

- b. Returns from investments in plants or equipment: Must correspond to the declarations of the corresponding expenditures, and so cannot be declared for more than five years from the year when the investment was made.
- c. Calculation of reduction in expenditures actually gained by environmental protection measures other than investments in plants or equipment: Declare the annual rates (monetary values) of the reduction in comparison to the case where the respective environmental protection measures were not put into practice, as an economic result of the current term; however, these can be declared for only one year from the year when a measure is taken, in principle.

Environmental Protection Costs

| (including maintenance and operation expenses such as the depreciation of investments in plant and equipment, and personnel expenses) (Millio | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------|-------------|--|
| | Category | Major Measure | Investment | Expenditure | |
| 1. | Environmental protection costs for preventing environmental burden caused within own operation area by production and service activities | Subtotal | 391 | 452 | |
| ltem | (1) Pollution prevention costs | Cleaning with substitutes for toxic substances, air/water/soil pollution prevention | 318 | 186 | |
| | (2) Global environmental protection costs | Efficient use of energy (energy-efficient buildings/equipment, co-generation, solar power generation), cleaning with substitutes for HCFCs | 63 | 84 | |
| | (3) Resource recycling costs | Reduction of paper used (computerization of documents), expansion of recycling, reduction of waste disposal, waste treatment | 10 | 182 | |
| 2. | Costs for limiting environmental impact occurring downstream or upstream of the operation area by production and service activities | "Green" purchasing | 0 | 11 | |
| 3. | Environmental protection costs in management activities | Configuration and implementation of EMS, environmental education | 0 | 543 | |
| 4. | Environmental protection costs in research and development activities | Development of environmentally friendly products, lead-free soldering process, and cleaning method using safe substitutes for toxic substances | 20 | 101 | |
| 5. | Environmental protection costs in social activities | Promotion of nature preserves and "greening," measures for harmony with local community, disclosure of information | 0 | 60 | |
| 6. | Costs of environmental damages | Investigation and restoration of polluted soil | 25 | 8 | |
| Total | | | 436 | 1 175 | |

Investment in Plant and Equipment and Research-and-Development Cost

| Item | Details | Amount |
|--|--|--------|
| Total investment for term in question | Total investment in plant and equipment including investments not related to the environment | 17,860 |
| Total research and development cost for term in question | Total research and development including costs not related to the environment | 18,400 |

Environmental Protection Effects (Quantities)

Economic Results from al Dr ation

| Environmental Protection Effects (Quantities) | | | Ē | Invironmental Protection | (Millions of yen) |
|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------|
| | Details of Effect | Environmental Burden Indicator (Performance) | | Details of Effect | Monetary Value |
| 1. | Environmental Protection Effects Occurring within Operation Area | Environmental burden indicator: Reduced by 915 EP | | Subtotal - reduction in expenditures because of: | 500 |
| | Reduction in amounts of toxic substances used Reduction in environment polluting | Reduction in trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene by 41.5 tons | | Reduction in toxic substances used and from avoiding risk | |
| | substances used | | | | *208 |
| tem | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by efficient use of energy | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2,790 tons (from power consumption of 10,350 MWh, offsetting an increase in consumption of city gas) | | Energy efficiency and reduction in HCFCs used | |
| _ | Reduction in HCFCs used | Reduction in HCFCs by 3.85 tons | | | 206 |
| | Reduction in paper used | Reduction in paper by 178 tons | | Reduction in paper/water used, and waste; | |
| | Reduction in waste | Reduction in waste by 1,020 tons (by resource circulation, etc.) | | income from sale of valuable goods | |
| _ | Expansion of recycling | | | | 86 |
| 2. | Environmental Protection Effects Occurring Upstream and Downstream | | | Reduction of costs by reuse of packing | |
| | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions resulting from energy-efficient, environmentally friendly products | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 3,100 tons (emitted over the service life of an environmentally friendly product) | | materials | |
| | Improvement of packing | Reduction of packing materials by 26 tons | | | 14 |
| 3. | Other Environmental Protection Effects | | | Subtotal | 581 |
| | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions | Reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 230 tons | | Reduction in material fees because of development of | |
| | resulting from resource-efficient, environmentally friendly products | (by efficient use of materials in manufacturing of | | environmentally friendly products, and effects from | |
| | | environmentally friendly products) | | research and development | **416 |
| | Social activities | 2,520 people visited (Kofu plant) to observe environmental protection activities | | Effects from education and reduction in education expenditures | ***140 |
| | Activities for local communities | Promotion of "zero emissions" activities (at Kokubo industrial park in Kofu) | | Reduction in expenditures from avoiding risk | ***25 |
| Total | | Environmental burden indicator: Reduced by 915 EP | | Total | 1,095 |

Where it was impossible to subjectively calculate the monetary value of avoiding risk and compliance with regulations, the monetary value equivalent to the environmental monitoring and

** The monetary value equivalent to the education cost and to the reduction in outside lectures and completely value with regulations, the indicated yade equivalent to the environmental minimum and measuring costs and the depreciation cost for the corresponding investment in plant and equipment was deemed as being the economic result and declared as such.
** The monetary value of the reduction in the material fees per product from those for the prior model, multiplied by the annual number of new products sold, in the accounting for the same fiscal year as when the product was developed, was declared as the economic result from an environmentally friendly product. In addition, the monetary value equivalent to the development cost was declared as the added value of investment in research and development.
*** The monetary value equivalent to the education cost and to the reduction in outside lectures and consultant fees was declared as the added value of environmental education.

Sales of Environmental Business Products and Total Sales

(Millions of yen)

| Item | Details | Amount (percent) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sales of environmental business products | Sale of products and systems (for the period in question) that exclusively contribute to reduction of social, environmental burden, including those products from the environment business (for water purity improvement systems, preservation of the atmosphere, waste treatment systems, etc.) | 26,770 (9.5%) | | | | |
| Total sales for term in question | Grand total | 281,390 (100%) | | | | |

(Millions of yen)